arXiv:gr-qc/9908072v6 22 May 2000

A class of plane symmetric perfect-fluid cosmologies with a Kasner-like singularity

Keith Anguige*

Max Planck Institut fuer Gravitationsphysik
Albert Einstein Institut, Am Muehlenberg 1
14476 Golm, Germany

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We prove the existence of a class of plane-symmetric perfect fluid cosmologies with a Kasner-like singularity of cigar type. These solutions of the Einstein equations depend on two smooth functions of one space coordinate. They are constructed by solving a symmetric hyperbolic system of Fuchsian equations.

1 Introduction

The Bianchi I spacetimes are the simplest class of anisotropic cosmological models. They admit a 3-parameter abelian group of isometries acting on spacelike hypersurfaces [17]. The dynamics of solutions to Einstein's equations with this symmetry type are particularly well-understood in the case of a perfect fluid as matter source. For a physically reasonable, non-stiff equation of state and with an appropriate choice of time-orientation all solutions isotropise in the future and in the past any solution which is not FRW must become Kasner-like as the initial singularity is approached. Solutions with all values of the Kasner exponents are realised [13].

Under the additional assumptions of local rotational symmetry and reflection symmetry much can also be said about the asymptotic behaviour of collisionless gas cosmologies (i.e. solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov equations). Once again all solutions isotropise in the future. For any solution

---

[*] E-mail address: anguige@aei-potsdam.mpg.de

which is not FRW the asymptotic generalised Kasner exponents (i.e. the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form divided by the mean curvature) in the past are either $(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ or $(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ [15]. The first case is Kasner like and is generic in that it occurs for an open dense set of initial data. Note that no solution of the $(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ type occurs in the fluid case and that the Kasner exponents $(1, 0, 0)$ are not realised with the collisionless gas.

It is natural to ask whether the above qualitative features are maintained if one relaxes the requirement of spatial homogeneity somewhat. Certainly there are many inhomogeneous FRW-like solutions of both the Einstein-perfect fluid equations and the Einstein-Vlasov equations, as has been shown in the framework of isotropic singularites [2]-[4], [9], [10]. Kasner like solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov equations have been investigated by Rein [12] under the assumption of plane symmetry, which is the simplest inhomogeneous generalisation of LRS Bianchi I symmetry. By proving a global existence theorem he is able to show that an open set of C^∞ data on a regular Cauchy surface evolves back to a Kasner like singularity of the $(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ type, agreeing with the picture described in [13]. In the present paper we study plane-symmetric, non-stiff γ-law perfect fluid cosmologies with asymptotic geometry of this same type. The results obtained can be thought of as providing some hard evidence for certain aspects of the general picture of cosmological singularities proposed by Belinskii, Khalatnikov and Lifschitz (BKL) many years ago [5]. This picture of the generic solution of Einstein's equations, based on formal calculations, has a number of key elements:

- (i) Near a singularity the evolution at different spatial points should decouple so that spacetime is approximated by a spatially homogeneous model at each point.
- (ii) The matter content of spacetime should in general not have a significant effect on the dynamics near the singularity. Thus the dynamics should be well approximated by vacuum spacetimes.
- (iii) The most general spatially homogeneous cosmology is modelled by a spacetime of Bianchi type VIII or IX and vacuum spacetimes of Bianchi types VIII and IX typically exhibit Mixmaster behaviour.

One should note that there are exceptional models which do not fit the BKL picture. Spacetimes with isotropic singularities are an example, but they depend on fewer free functions than the general solution and so do not constitute a counterexample. Also, while the BKL picture predicts in general complicated, oscillatory Mixmaster behaviour one may still investigate (i) and (ii) for less complicated Bianchi type I-like models. Rigorous results for Gowdy spacetimes have been obtained by Isenberg and Moncrief [7] and Kichenassamy and Rendall [8]. Recall that the Gowdy spacetimes are defined as spatially compact solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations which have two commuting Killing vectors and in which the so-called 'twist constants' vanish. In [8] the so-called Fuchsian method is used to construct a class of Gowdy spacetimes which have Kasner-like singularities and depend on the maximum number of free-functions. In [7] the authors specialize to so-called polarized Gowdy symmetry and use energy estimates to show that all solutions are 'Asymptotically Velocity Term Dominated' near a cosmological singularity. This is one way of saying that the field equations spatially decouple as in (i).

The method used in this paper to investigate perfect-fluid spacetimes is very similar to that employed in [8]. It consists in taking a two-parameter family of exact Bianchi I solutions, making an inhomogeneous perturbation and solving a singular (Fuchsian) system of field equations for the perturbation near the singularity '$t = 0$'. In this way we construct a two free-function family of C^∞ inhomogeneous cosmologies with the required asymptotic Bianchi I like behaviour. This family is 'generic' in the sense that the general plane-symmetric perfect-fluid spacetime depends on just two free-functions.

The main result is stated formally as Theorem 6.1 at the end of section 6.

In section 7 we show that the spacetimes constructed have crushing singularities.

2 Exact solutions

The general Bianchi I solution of the Einstein-perfect fluid equations, as given in [16] is

$$ds^2 = -B^{2(\gamma-1)}d\tau^2 + \tau^{2p_1}B^{2q_1}dx^2 + \tau^{2p_2}B^{2q_2}dy^2 + \tau^{2p_3}B^{2q_3}dz^2 \qquad (1)$$

where

$$B^{2-\gamma} = \alpha + m^2\tau^{2-\gamma} \qquad \alpha \geq 0,\ m > 0 \qquad (2)$$

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1 \quad , \quad p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 = 1 \quad , \quad q_i = \frac{2}{3} - p_i \qquad (3)$$

(The case $\alpha = 0$ is an FRW model and is excluded in what follows.)

The density of the fluid is given by

$$\mu = \frac{4m^2}{3\tau^\gamma B^\gamma} \tag{4}$$

and γ is the polytropic index, so that the pressure is given by $P = (\gamma - 1)\mu$.

It is a consequence of the momentum constraint that in Bianchi type I the fluid cannot tilt and hence the 4-velocity points in the direction of $\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}$.

Now if one wants to consider the plane-symmetric cases of (1) then there are two choices for the Kasner exponents p_i, namely $(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ and $(1, 0, 0)$. In this paper we will restrict attention to the $(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ case and consider inhomogeneous perturbations of the metric which depend on a coordinate in the '$-\frac{1}{3}$ direction'.

When we come to write down Einstein's equations for a plane symmetric metric we will use conformal coordinates, i.e. coordinates for which the metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(t,x)}(-dt^2 + dx^2) + R(t,x)(dy^2 + dz^2) \tag{5}$$

The model solution (1) in the case $p_i = (-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ may be written in conformal coordinates by making the transformation

$$\tau \to t = \int_0^\tau \frac{s^{1/3}}{\alpha + m^2 s^{2-\gamma}}\, ds \tag{6}$$

Then the metric (1) takes the form (5) with

$$A = -\frac{1}{3}\log\tau + \frac{1}{2-\gamma}\log\left(\alpha + m^2\tau^{2-\gamma}\right) \quad , \quad R = \tau^{4/3} \tag{7}$$

and τ given implicitly as a function of t by the relation (6).

3 The Einstein-perfect fluid equations

We will assume that spacetime is filled with a polytropic perfect fluid, so that the stress tensor takes the form

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = (\rho + P)u^\alpha u^\beta + Pg^{\alpha\beta} \tag{8}$$

with $u^\alpha u_\alpha = -1$ and $P = (\gamma - 1)\rho,\ 1 < \gamma < 2$.

With this stress tensor the Einstein evolution equations for the metric (5) are

$$R_{tt} - R_{xx} = (2 - \gamma)Re^{2A}\rho \tag{9}$$

$$A_{tt} - A_{xx} - \frac{1}{4}R^{-2}(R_t^2 - R_x^2) = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma e^{2A}\rho \tag{10}$$

while the constraints read

$$R^{-1}R_{xx} - \frac{1}{4}R^{-2}(R_t^2 + R_x^2) - R^{-1}R_tA_t - R^{-1}R_xA_x = -e^{2A}\rho(\gamma(v^1)^2 + 1) \tag{11}$$

$$-R^{-1}R_{tx} + R^{-1}(R_tA_x + A_tR_x) + \frac{1}{2}R^{-2}R_tR_x = -e^{2A}\gamma\rho v^0v^1 \tag{12}$$

where $v^i = e^A u^i$ and hence $(v^0)^2 - (v^1)^2 = 1$.

The Euler equations $\nabla^\alpha T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ take the following explicit form

$$\begin{gathered}(1 + \gamma(v^1)^2)\rho_t + 2\gamma\rho(v^1)_t - 2\gamma\rho A_t(1 + (v^1)^2) + \gamma v^0v^1\rho_x \\ +\gamma\rho\left\{\left(\frac{(v^1)^2 + (v^0)^2}{v^0}\right)((v^1)_x - A_xv^1) - \frac{v^1}{v^0}A_x\right\} \\ +\gamma\rho\left\{A_t(3(v^0)^2 + (v^1)^2) + v^0v^1(4A_x + R^{-1}R_x) + (v^0)^2R^{-1}R_t\right\} = 0\end{gathered} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}\gamma v^1v^0\rho_t + \gamma\rho\left\{\left(\frac{(v^1)^2 + (v^0)^2}{v^0}\right)((v^1)_t - A_tv^1) - \frac{v^1}{v^0}A_t\right\} \\ +\left(\gamma(1 + (v^1)^2) - 1\right)\rho_x + 2\gamma\rho v^1(v^1)_x \\ +\gamma\rho\left\{v^1v^0(2A_t + R^{-1}R_t) + (v^1)^2R^{-1}R_x + (1 + 2(v^1)^2)A_x + 2v^0v^1A_t\right\} = 0\end{gathered} \tag{14}$$

4 Inhomogeneous perturbations

We now choose two strictly positive smooth functions $\alpha(x),\ m(x)$ and seek solutions $\rho,\ v^1,\ A,\ R$ of (9)-(14) which approach the model forms (4)-(7) as $t \to 0$.

To be specific we make the following ansatz

$$A = -\frac{1}{3}\log\tau + \frac{1}{2-\gamma}\log\left(\alpha(x) + m^2(x)\tau^{2-\gamma}\right) + t\tilde{A} \tag{15}$$

$$R = \tau^{4/3}(1 + \tilde{R}) \tag{16}$$

$$\log\rho = \log\mu + t^{\frac{3}{4}(\gamma - \frac{2}{3}) + \epsilon}\phi \tag{17}$$

$$v^1 = t^{\frac{3}{4}(\gamma - \frac{2}{3})}(\psi^0 + t^\epsilon\tilde{\psi}) \tag{18}$$

where $0 < \epsilon < \frac{3}{4}(2-\gamma)$, $\tau(t,x)$ is given by (6) and

$$\psi^0 \equiv \left(\frac{4}{3}\alpha\right)^{\frac{3}{4}(\gamma-\frac{2}{3})} (m^2\gamma)^{-1} \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma-2}\right) \frac{\alpha_x}{\alpha}$$

The aim is to find solutions of the Einstein-perfect fluid equations for which $\tilde{A}$, $\tilde{R}$, ϕ and $\tilde{\psi}$ tend to zero as t tends to zero. The choice of ψ^0 ensures that the momentum constraint is satisfied at $t = 0$ (see section 6).

It is convenient to write the field equations for $\tilde{A}$, $\tilde{R}$, ϕ and $\tilde{\psi}$ in first order form. To do this we introduce the following new variables:

$$U = \tilde{R}_t, \quad Q = \partial_t(t\tilde{A}), \quad X = \tilde{R}_x, \quad Y = t\tilde{A}_x, \quad S = t^{-1}\tilde{R}$$

In terms of these variables the evolution equations (9)-(10) take the form

$$tX_t = tU_x \tag{19}$$

$$tY_t = tQ_x \tag{20}$$

$$t\tilde{R}_t = tU \tag{21}$$

$$t\tilde{A}_t + \tilde{A} - Q = 0 \tag{22}$$

$$t\partial_t S + S - U = 0 \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} tU_t + 2U &= tX_x + \left(-2t^{\frac{3}{4}(2-\gamma)}F(t,x) - \frac{8}{3}m^2 t\tau^{\frac{2}{3}-\gamma}\right)U \\ &+ \frac{t}{\tau^{4/3}}(\tau^{4/3})_{xx}(1+\tilde{R}) + 2t(\log\tau^{4/3})_x X \\ &+ \frac{4m^2}{3}t\tau^{-\frac{2}{3}-\gamma}(1+\tilde{R})(2-\gamma)(\alpha + m^2\tau^{2-\gamma})(e^{2t\tilde{A}+t^{1+\epsilon-p}\phi} - 1) \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} tQ_t - \frac{1}{2}U &= tY_x + tA^0_{xx} - \frac{2}{3}\gamma m^2 t\tau^{-\frac{2}{3}-\gamma}(\alpha + m^2\tau^{2-\gamma})(e^{2t\tilde{A}+t^{1+\epsilon-p}\phi} - 1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}t(1+\tilde{R})^{-2}\left(U^2 + \frac{8}{3}\tau^{\frac{2}{3}-\gamma}m^2(1+\tilde{R})U + ((\log\tau^{4/3})_x(1+\tilde{R}) + X)^2\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}U\left\{t^{\frac{3}{4}(2-\gamma)}F(t,x) + t(1 + t^{\frac{3}{4}(2-\gamma)}F(t,x))S\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where A^0 stands for the first two terms in (15), the function $F(t,x)$, continuous in t and smooth in x is given by the relation

$$\frac{4}{3}\alpha t\tau^{-\frac{4}{3}} = 1 + t^{\frac{3}{4}(2-\gamma)}F(t,x) \tag{26}$$

The Euler equations may, after some rearrangement, be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(1+\gamma t^{2-2p}\psi^2+\frac{2\gamma t^{2-2p}\psi^2(v^0)^2}{1+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2}\right)t^{\epsilon-p}(t\phi_t+(1+\epsilon-p)\phi)=-\gamma t^{1-p}(v^0)^{-1}(1+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2)\psi_x\\
&+\gamma t^{1-p}(v^0)^{-1}(1+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2)\psi(A^0_x+Y)(v^0)^{-1}(1+v^0)+\gamma^2t^{2-2p}\psi^2\tau^{-4/3}(\alpha+m^2\tau^{2-\gamma})\\
&+\frac{2\gamma t^{1-p}\psi v^0}{1+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2}\left\{\left(t^{2-2p}\psi^2+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\right)((\log\mu)_x+t^{1+\epsilon-p}\phi_x)-\gamma t^{1-p}\psi v^0\tau^{-4/3}(\alpha+m^2t^{2-\gamma})\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad+2t^{2-2p}\psi\psi_x+(1+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2)(A^0_x+Y)+(\log\tau^{4/3})_x\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+(1+\tilde{R})^{-1}X+t^{1-p}\psi v^0(4\tau^{\frac{2}{3}-\gamma}+4Q+(1+\tilde{R})^{-1}U\right\}\\
&\quad-\gamma\left\{Q+\frac{2}{3}t^{2-2p}\psi^2(\alpha\tau^{-4/3}+4m^2\tau^{2-\gamma}+3Q)+4v^0t^{1-p}\psi(A^0_x+Y)\right.\\
&\left.+\left(\frac{1+t^{2-2p}\psi^2}{1+\tilde{R}}\right)U+v^0t^{1-p}\psi\left((\log\tau^{4/3})_x+\frac{X}{1+\tilde{R}}\right)+t^{1-p}\psi v^0((\log\mu)_x+t^{1+\epsilon-p}\phi_x)\right\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{27}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2}{v^0}\right)t^{\epsilon-p}(t\tilde{\psi}_t+\epsilon\tilde{\psi})=-\left(t^{2-2p}\psi^2+\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\right)((\log\mu)_x+t^{1+\epsilon-p}\phi_x)\\
&+t^{1-p}\psi\left(1+\frac{1}{v^0}\right)\left(\frac{2}{3}m^2\tau^{\frac{2}{3}-\gamma}+Q\right)-\frac{\psi}{4}F\left(1+\frac{1}{v^0}\right)+\frac{\psi}{4}t^{2-3p}\psi^2\frac{(1+t^2\psi^{2-2p})^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+\sqrt{1+t^{2-2p}\psi^2})}\\
&\qquad-t^{1-p}\psi v^0\left\{4m^2\tau^{\frac{2}{3}-\gamma}+\frac{U}{1+\tilde{R}}+4Q+(\log\tau^{4/3})_x+\frac{X}{1+\tilde{R}}\right\}\\
&+\frac{t^{1-p}\psi v^0}{(1+\gamma t^{2-2p}\psi^2)}\left\{\gamma t^{1-p}\left(\frac{1+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2}{v^0}\right)(\psi_x-\psi(A^0_x+Y)(1+v^0)(v^0)^{-1})\right.\\
&-\gamma^2t^{2-2p}\psi^2\tau^{-\frac{4}{3}}(\alpha+m^2\tau^{2-\gamma})+\gamma t^{1-p}\psi(2t^{1-p}\psi_t+(2-2p)t^{-p}\psi+v^0((\log\mu)_x+t^{1+\epsilon-p}\phi_x))\\
&\quad+\gamma\left(Q+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2(-\frac{1}{3}\alpha\tau^{-\frac{4}{3}}+\frac{2}{3}m^2\tau^{\frac{2}{3}-\gamma}+Q)+4v^0t^{1-p}\psi(A^0_x+Y)\right)\\
&\left.+\gamma\left(\left(\frac{1+t^{2-2p}\psi^2}{1+\tilde{R}}\right)U+\frac{4}{3}\tau^{-\frac{4}{3}}t^{2-2p}\psi^2(\alpha+m^2\tau^{2-\gamma})+v^0t^{1-p}\psi\left((\log\tau^{\frac{4}{3}})_x+\frac{X}{1+\tilde{R}}\right)\right)\right\}\\
&+\frac{3\gamma}{4}\left(2(1+t^pF)v^0\alpha^{-1}m^2\tau^{2-\gamma}t^{-p}\psi+F(1+t^{2-2p}\psi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi+\frac{t^{2-3p}\psi^3}{(1+t^{2-2p}\psi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}+1}\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad-2\gamma\psi t^{2-2p}\psi_x-(1+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2)(A^0_x+Y)
\end{aligned}
\tag{28}
$$

where $p=\frac{3}{4}(2-\gamma)$ and $\psi=\psi^0+t^\epsilon\tilde{\psi}$.

5 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

The Einstein evolution equations (19)-(25) take the form

$$ta_1(w_1)_t + tb_1(w_1)_x + c_1 w_1 = t^\delta G_1\left(w_1, \phi, t, x\right) \tag{29}$$

where w_1 stands for $U, \tilde{A}, Q, X, Y, \tilde{R}, S$, a_1 is the 6×6 identity matrix, b_1 is a constant symmetric matrix and c_1 is a constant matrix with eigenvalues $0, 1, 2$. G_1 is a vector-valued function, continuous in t and smooth in all other arguments. δ is a strictly positive constant.

The Euler equations (27)-(28) may be symmetrised by multiplying one of the equations through by the smooth function of $t^{1-p}\psi$ given by

$$\left(\frac{4\gamma t^{2-2p}\psi^2 v^0}{1+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2} - \frac{\gamma(1+2t^{2-2p}\psi^2)}{v^0}\right)\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma\psi^2 t^{2-2p}(v^0)^2}{1+\gamma t^{2-2p}\psi^2} - t^{2-2p}\psi^2\right)^{-1} \tag{30}$$

In this way (27)-(28) give rise to a system of the form

$$ta_2(t^{1-p}\psi)(w_2)_t + tb_2(\psi, t)(w_2)_x + c_2 w_2 = t^\delta G_2(w_1, w_2, t, x) \tag{31}$$

where w_2 stands for ϕ, $\tilde{\psi}$, a_2 is a diagonal positive definite matrix, smooth as a function of $t^{1-p}\psi$ (and equal to the identity at $t^{1-p}\psi = 0$), b_2 is a smooth symmetric matrix and c_2 is diagonal with positive eigenvalues. G_2 is a vector-valued function, continuous in t and smooth in its other arguments.

Combining (29)-(31) we see that the field equations may be expressed as

$$ta_3(t^{1-p}\psi)(w_3)_t + tb_3(\psi, t)(w_3)_x + c_3 w_3 = t^\delta G_3\left(w_3, t, x, \right) \tag{32}$$

where a_3 is smooth and positive definite, b_3 is smooth and symmetric and c_3 is constant with positive eigenvalues. G_3 is a vector-valued function, continuous in t and smooth in all other arguments.

Now note that we may choose $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{3}{4}(\gamma - 2/3) = q/n$ for some positive integer n and some $q > 1$ wlog. With this choice of δ it is then convenient to make the change of time coordinate $t \to s = t^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Equation (32) then takes the form

$$\tilde{a}_3(w_3)_s + \tilde{b}_3(w_3)_x + \frac{1}{s}c_3 w_3 = G_3 \tag{33}$$

where $\tilde{a}_3 = n^{-1}a_3$ and $\tilde{b}_3 = s^{n-1}b_3$.

It is also convenient to multiply the right hand side of (33) by a smooth cut-off function of x with compact support. Call the result $\tilde{G}_3$.

We are aiming to solve the equation

$$\tilde{a}_3(w_3)_s + \tilde{b}_3(w_3)_x + \frac{1}{s}c_3 w_3 = \tilde{G}_3 \tag{34}$$

with $w_3(0) = 0$ on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}$. A solution of this equation will be a local (in space) solution of (33) by the finite speed of propagation.

For the application of energy estimates to a Fuchsian system it is important that the singular part of the system be positive definite. Unfortunately the matrix c_3 in (34) is not positive definite even though its eigenvalues are all positive. The situation can however be remedied by making a change of variables. First, because c_3 has positive eigenvalues one can, by Lemma 2.1 of [18] obtain an approximate solution u_i of (34) which satifies this equation up to $O(s^i)$ for any positive integer i, i.e.

$$s\tilde{a}_3(u_i)_s + s\tilde{b}_3(u_i)_x + c_3 u_i - s\tilde{G}_3 = O(s^i)$$

as $s \to 0$. The function $u_i(s,x)$ is continuous in s at $s=0$ and smooth for $s>0$, with $u_i(0,x)=0$.

Now define the new variable $\tilde{w}_3$ according to $\tilde{w}_3 = s^{-i}(w_3 - u_i)$. Then as an equation for $\tilde{w}_3$ (34) becomes

$$\tilde{a}_3(\tilde{w}_3)_s + \tilde{b}_3(\tilde{w}_3)_x + \frac{1}{s}\tilde{c}_3\tilde{w}_3 = \hat{G}_3$$

where $\tilde{c}_3 = c_3 + iI$ and $\hat{G}_3$ has the same properties as $\tilde{G}_3$.

We now assume that i was chosen large enough to make $\tilde{c}_3$ positive definite and in the following we drop the tildes from $\tilde{w}_3$ and $\tilde{c}_3$ for convenience.

Now $\hat{G}_3$ contains terms like $f(t,x)g(w_3)w_3$ or just $h(t,x)$ where f and h are continuous in t and smooth with compact support in x and g is smooth. We approximate such functions f,h uniformly in the Sobolev space $H^p(\mathbb{R})$ on $[0,T]$ by sequences of smooth (C^∞) functions of compact support f^n, h^n (see Lemma A.1 of the Appendix and see [1] for an introduction to Sobolev spaces).

Consider the equation

$$\tilde{a}_3(s,w_3^n)(w_3^{n+1})_s + \tilde{b}_3(s,w_3^n)(w_3^{n+1})_x + \frac{1}{s}c_3 w_3^{n+1} = \sum_i f_i^n g_i(w_3^n)w_3^{n+1} + h_i^n \tag{35}$$

where the right hand side represents an approximation to $\hat{G}_3$. Since this equation is linear, all coefficients are smooth and c_3 is positive definite, we can iteratively obtain smooth solutions w_3^n on $[0,T]$ with $w_3^n(0) = (0)$ by Theorem 9.1 of [6]. **Lemma 5.1** The sequence w_3^n is uniformly bounded in H^p and for the element $\tilde{\psi}^n$ of w_3^n we have that $s\partial_s\tilde{\psi}^n$ is uniformly bounded in H^{p-1} on $[0, T_1]$ for some $T_1 \leq T$. (p is chosen large enough to apply the Sobolev embedding lemma [1] wherever needed).

Proof We use induction.
Suppose
(i) $\|w_3^n\|_p(s) \leq R$ for $s \leq T$
(ii) $\|s\partial_s\tilde{\psi}^n\|_{p-1} \leq L$ for $s \leq T$

Apply x derivatives of order α to (35) to get

$$\tilde{a}_3(w_3^n)\partial_s\nabla^\alpha w_3^{n+1} + \tilde{b}_3^{n+1}\partial_x\nabla^\alpha w_3^{n+1} + \frac{1}{s}c_3\nabla^\alpha w_3^{n+1} = M^\alpha \tag{36}$$

where M^α contains terms like

$$\tilde{a}_3\nabla^\alpha\left(\tilde{a}_3^{-1}\tilde{b}_3\partial_x w_3^{n+1}\right) \tag{37}$$

and the terms coming from the f_i^n and h_i^n.

Now take the inner product of (36) with $\nabla^\alpha w_3^{n+1}$ and use the symmetry of $\tilde{b}_3$, the boundedness of $s\partial_s\tilde{\psi}^n$ and the uniform equivalence of the L^2 norm of $\nabla^\alpha w_3^{n+1}$ to

$$\int \tilde{a}_3\nabla^\alpha w_3^{n+1}\cdot\nabla^\alpha w_3^{n+1}\;dx \tag{38}$$

in a standard way [11], to get the following inequality

$$\|w_3^{n+1}\|_p(s) \leq K_1\int_0^s \|w_3^{n+1}\|_p + \|w_3^n\|_p + K_2\;dr \tag{39}$$

(We used the fact that the f^n are bounded in H^p and we also used the positive definiteness of c_3 to throw away the singular $\frac{1}{s}$ terms which occur in (36)) If T_1 is now chosen sufficiently small Gronwall's inequality gives $\|w_3^{n+1}\|_p(s) \leq R$ for $s \leq T_1$.

From the differential equation (35) one may easily use a Moser estimate [11] to obtain $\|s\partial_s\tilde{\psi}^{n+1}\|_{p-1} \leq L$ for $s \leq T_1$ if L was chosen large enough. Hence the lemma.

Note: From the form of $\tilde{a}_3$ the bound on $s\partial_s\tilde{\psi}^n$ is enough to give control over the time derivative of $\tilde{a}_3(w_3^n)$ which occurs in the right hand side of the energy estimate for w_3^{n+1}.

Lemma 5.2 The sequence w_3^n converges uniformly in L^2 on $[0, T_2]$ for some $T_2 \leq T_1$.

Proof. By subtracting the equation satisfied by w_3^n from that satisfied by w_3^{n-1} and again exploiting the positive definiteness of c_3 one obtains the following L^2 energy estimate

$$\|w_3^n - w_3^{n-1}\|_2(s) \le K \int_0^s \left\{ \|w_3^n - w_3^{n-1}\|_2 + \|w^{n-1} - w^{n-2}\|_2 \right.$$
$$\left. + \|f^{n-1} - f^{n-1}\|_2 \right\} \, dr \tag{40}$$

(Note; we need boundedness of the quantity $(\tilde{a}_3(w_3^n) - \tilde{a}_3(w_3^{n-1}))\partial_s w_3^n$ to obtain this inequality. But this follows from the form of $\tilde{a}_3$ and Lemma 5.1) Put $\alpha^n = \|w_3^n - w_3^{n-1}\|_2, \;\; \gamma^n = \|f^{n-1} - f^{n-2}\|_2$. Then we have

$$\alpha^n(s) \le K \int_0^s \left[\alpha^n + \alpha^{n-1} + \gamma^n \right] \, dr \tag{41}$$

Gronwall implies

$$\alpha^n \le K \int_0^s \left[\alpha^{n-1} + \gamma^n \right] \, dr \tag{42}$$

which implies

$$\sup_{s \le T_2} \alpha^n \le K \int_0^t \sup_{s \le T_2} \alpha^{n-1} + \gamma^n \, dr \tag{43}$$

Thus

$$\sup_{s \le T_2} \alpha^n \le K T_2 \sup_{s \le T_2} \alpha^{n-1} + K \sup_{s \le T_2} \gamma^n \tag{44}$$

We assume that the sequence f_n was chosen to converge so fast that $\sum \gamma^n < \infty$. We also choose T_2 so that $KT_2 < 1$. It now follows by the argument of Corollary 5.4 in [11] that w_3^n is uniformly Cauchy in L^2 on $[0, T_2]$. Hence the lemma.

The inequality of Gagliardo-Nirenberg [11] now shows that w_3^n converges uniformly in H^{p-1} to some w on $[0, T_2]$. p was arbitrarily large. From the differential equation one see that quantities $\partial_s w_3^n$ converge uniformly on $(\epsilon, T_2]$ for $\epsilon > 0$. Hence w is C^1 by the Sobolev imbedding theorem. A standard continuation argument [14] for regular symmetric hyperbolic systems can be applied away from $s = 0$ to get that w is C^∞ for $s > 0$.

Suppose now that we have two solutions w, $\hat{w}$ of the field equations, smooth for $s > 0$, continuous at $s = 0$ and such that $w(0) = \hat{w}(0)$. Then by taking the difference of the equations satisfied by w and $\hat{w}$ one may obtain the following L^2 energy estimate.

$$\partial_s \|w - \hat{w}\|_2^2 \le K \|w - \hat{w}\|_2^2 \tag{45}$$

for $s > 0$. (Again one needs control over $(\tilde{a}_3(w) - \tilde{a}_3(\hat{w}))\partial_s w$, which follows from the equation)

Hence

$$\|w-\hat{w}\|_2^2(s)-\|w-\hat{w}\|_2^2(\epsilon)\leq K\int_\epsilon^s \|w-\hat{w}\|_2^2\ dt \tag{46}$$

which implies

$$\|w-\hat{w}\|_2^2(s)\leq K\int_0^s \|w-\hat{w}\|_2^2\ dt+\|w-\hat{w}\|_2^2(\epsilon) \tag{47}$$

Gronwall's inequality now implies

$$\|w-\hat{w}\|_2^2(s)\leq e^{Ks}\|w-\hat{w}\|_2^2(\epsilon) \tag{48}$$

Since $\epsilon>0$ was arbitrary, we must have $w\equiv\hat{w}$.

6 The constraints

Define constraint quantities $C_0,\ C_1$ by

$$C_0=R^{-1}R_{xx}-\frac{1}{4}R^{-2}(R_t^2+R_x^2)-R^{-1}R_tA_t-R^{-1}R_xA_x+e^{2A}\rho(1+\gamma(v^1)^2) \tag{49}$$

$$C_1=-R^{-1}R_{tx}+R^{-1}(R_tA_x+A_tR_x)+\frac{1}{2}R^{-2}R_tR_x+e^{2A}\gamma\rho v^0v^1 \tag{50}$$

If the evolution equations (9)-(10), (13)-(14) are satisfied then a calculation shows that the following hold

$$\partial_tC_0=-\partial_xC_1-\frac{R_t}{R}C_0-\frac{R_x}{R}C_1 \tag{51}$$

$$\partial_tC_1=-\partial_xC_0-\frac{R_t}{R}C_1-\frac{R_x}{R}C_0 \tag{52}$$

One also calculates that the quantities $\tilde{C_0}=tC_0,\ \tilde{C_1}=tC_1$ tend to zero as t tends to zero. These quantities satisfy the following

$$t\partial_t\tilde{C_0}+\left(\frac{tR_t}{R}-1\right)\tilde{C_0}=-t\partial_x\tilde{C_1}-\frac{tR_x}{R}\tilde{C_1} \tag{53}$$

$$t\partial_t\tilde{C_1}+\left(\frac{tR_t}{R}-1\right)\tilde{C_1}=-t\partial_x\tilde{C_0}-\frac{tR_x}{R}\tilde{C_0} \tag{54}$$

Now R_x/R is $O(1)$ and $tR_t/R=1+O(t^\delta)$ for some $\delta>0$. It thus follows from the ideas of section (5) that $\tilde{C_0}$ and $\tilde{C_1}$ are identically zero and hence the constraints are satisfied.

The results of sections 5 and 6 can now be summarised as follows:

Theorem 6.1. Given two smooth, strictly positive functions $\alpha(x),\ m(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique solution $(g_{\mu\nu}, \rho, u^\alpha)$ of the Einstein equations coupled to a γ-law perfect fluid on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ satisfying

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(t,x)}(-dt^2 + dx^2) + R(t,x)(dy^2 + dz^2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A^0 + o(t) \\ R &= \tau^{\frac{4}{3}}(1 + o(1)) \\ \log\rho &= \log\mu + o(t^{1-\frac{3}{4}(2-\gamma)+\epsilon})\ \ ,\ 0 < \epsilon < \frac{3}{4}(2-\gamma) \\ v^1 &= o(t^{\frac{3}{4}(\gamma-2/3)}) \\ t\partial_t A &= t\partial_t A^0 + o(1) = -\frac{1}{4} + o(1) \\ \partial_t R &= \frac{4}{3}\alpha + o(t) \end{aligned}$$

where $1 < \gamma < 2$ and

$$A^0 = -\frac{1}{3}\log\tau + \frac{1}{2-\gamma}\log\left(\alpha(x) + m^2(x)\tau^{2-\gamma}\right) \tag{55}$$

$$t = \int_0^\tau \frac{s^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\alpha + m^2 s^{2-\gamma}} ds \sim \frac{3}{4\alpha}\tau^{\frac{4}{3}}$$

7 Crushing singularities

The extrinsic curvature of the hypersurfaces of constant t is given by

$$K = e^{-t\tilde{A}}\tau^{-1}(\alpha + m^2\tau^{2-\gamma})^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2}}(\alpha + 2m^2\tau^{2-\gamma} + \tau^{4/3}(Q + U(1+\tilde{R})^{-1})) \tag{56}$$

It follows that in the limit as $t \to 0$ we have $K \sim \alpha^{(\gamma+2)/(\gamma-2)}t^{-\frac{3}{4}}$. Thus if α is chosen uniformly positive we have a crushing singularity at $t = 0$.

8 Conclusion

We have proved the existence of a family of plane-symmetric perfect-fluid cosmologies which have a $(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ Kasner-like singularity and which depend on the full number of free functions for perfect-fluid spacetimes with this symmetry type, namely two. This supports the idea of Belinskii, Khalatnikov and Lifschitz that the Einstein equations should generically decouple spatially near a cosmological singularity and that the matter content of spacetime should have a negligible effect on the dynamics near the singularity.

It would be interesting to know if the result obtained in this paper can be extended to perfect-fluid spacetimes with polarized Gowdy symmetry (i. e. diagonal G_2 cosmologies). The formal expansions of BKL and the work of Isenberg and Moncrief suggest that this should indeed be the case. It may well be that the Fuchsian algorithm can once again be applied in this more general setting, but this has not yet been attempted.

A Approximation of continuous functions by smooth functions

.

Lemma A.1 Suppose we are given a function $F(t,x)$ on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}$, which is continuous in t and smooth in x. Suppose also that F has fixed compact support in x. Then there is a sequence of smooth functions $F_n(t,x)$ on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}$ such that $F_n \to F$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, uniformly on $[0,T]$.

Proof First define

$$\tilde{F}(t,x) = \begin{cases} F(t,x) & 0 \le t \le T \\ F(0,x) & -1 \le t \le 0 \\ F(T,x) & t \ge T \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

and let

$$F_n(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{\frac{1}{n}}(t-s)\tilde{F}(s,x)\ ds \tag{58}$$

where J_ϵ is a smooth function, supported on $(-\epsilon,\epsilon)$, such that $\int J_\epsilon(x)dx = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{F}(t,x) - F_n(t,x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{\frac{1}{n}}(t-s)(\tilde{F}(t,x) - \tilde{F}(s,x))\ ds \right| \\ &\le \sup_{|t-s|\le\frac{1}{n}} |\tilde{F}(t,x) - \tilde{F}(s,x)| \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{F}-F_n)(t,x)|^2\ dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\sup_{|t-s|\le\frac{1}{n}} |\tilde{F}(t,x)-\tilde{F}(s,x)|\right)^2\ dx \tag{59}$$

Clearly the integrand in the right hand side of (59) tends to zero for each x by the uniform continuity of $\tilde{F}$ in t. It is also clear that the sequence of integrands is bounded by an integrable function. Thus $F_n \to F$ in L^2 uniformly on $[0,T]$ by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. One may now apply the above argument to partial derivatives to get the desired result.

References

[1] Adams R A 1975 *Sobolev Spaces* (New York: Academic Press)

[2] Anguige K and Tod K P 1998 Isotropic cosmological singularities I *Ann. Phys.* **276** 257-93

[3] Anguige K and Tod K P 1998 Isotropic cosmological singularities II *Ann. Phys.* **276** 294-320

[4] Anguige K 1999 Isotropic cosmological singularities III *Ann. Phys.* to appear

[5] Belinskii V A, Khalatnikov I M and Lifschitz E M 1982 A general solution of the Einstein equations with a time singularity *Adv. Phys* **13** 639-667

[6] Claudel C M and Newman K P 1998 The Cauchy problem for quasilinear hyperbolic evolution problems with a singularity in the time *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **454**, 1073-1107

[7] Isenberg J and Moncrief V 1990 Asymptotic behaviour of the gravitational field and the nature of singularities in Gowdy spacetimes *Ann. Phys.* **199**, 84-122

[8] Kichenassamy S and Rendall A D 1998 Analytic description of singularities in Gowdy spacetimes *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15** 1339-55

[9] Newman R P A C 1993 *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **443** 473-92

[10] Newman R P A C 1993 *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **443** 493-515

[11] Racke R 1992 *Lectures on nonlinear evolution equations* Aspects of mathematics vol. E19 (Vieweg)

[12] Rein G 1996 Cosmological solutions of the Vlasov-Einstein system with spherical, plane and hyperbolic symmetry *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc* **119** 739-62

[13] Rendall A D 1996 The initial singularity in solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov system of Bianchi type I *J. Math. Phys.* **37** 438-51

[14] Taylor M D 1996 *Pseudodifferential operators and nonlinear PDE, Progress in mathematics* vol. 100 (Birkhäuser)

[15] Tod K P and Rendall A D 1999 Dynamics of spatially homogeneous solutions of the Einstein-Vlasov equations which are locally rotationally symmetric *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16** 1705-26

[16] Wainwright J and Ellis G F R 1997 *Dynamical systems in cosmology* (Cambridge: CUP)

[17] Wald R M 1984 *General Relativity* (University of Chicago Press)

[18] Rendall A D (April 2000), [Online Los Alamos Archive Preprint], http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/gr-qc/0004044